UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,851	12/29/2004	Masanori Itoh	MTS-3472US	9473
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 04/27/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FOR	CE DA 10492		NGUYEN, LINH THI	
VALLET FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,851	ITOH, MASANORI	
Francisco a	A (1 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	LINH T. NGUYEN	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	in the time period set forth in 57 v	51 TC +1.57 (a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Amendment (1 1 OL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 11 and 17. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,12-16 and 20-26.		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
LN	/Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2627				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claims 1 and 20 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to claim 1, applicant argues that Kikuchi does not "record management information that denotes a mutual association between said video signals that have the same contents but are compressed in a plurality of different bit rates." However, Kikuchi discloses that the video object is able to be compressed with MPEG1 or MPEG2 and is identified by data transfer of .5s to 1.2 seconds, therefore the video data are associated by the ind#k and the decode/encode time stamp to correspond to the different MPEG (Column 7, lines 23-67 and Column 8, lines 1-19). Plus, on figure 9, the table show association when the disk is interrupted with many general parameters of additional contents if needed (Column 12, lines 1-16). In regards to claim 16, 23 and 26, applicant argues that Yogeshwar does not disclose "same content but compressed in plurality of different bit rate." However, Yogeshwar et al discloses the same content video/audio signal in different formats/encoders 1-N which can be suggest by the system or the user (Paragraph [0059]) however, if the user does not like the quality, the user is able to select another format (Paragraph [0072]), therefore, the contents are the same and can be select to different formats/encoders (bit rate).

/Thang V. Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627